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SUMMARY

Background: Despite therapeutic progress, many diseases of the eyes and visual pathways still cause persistent visual deficits that make everyday life more difficult in many ways. Rehabilitation aims to compensate for these limitations by optimizing residual vision. The demand for visual rehabilitation will increase markedly in the near future.

Methods: We summarize the state of the art in visual rehabilitation on the basis of a selective review of the literature, including randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) in the Cochrane and PubMed databases as well as Cochrane reviews. We also pay particular attention to studies illustrating an important principle or a clinically established method.

Results: Central visual field defects impair reading. Persons with an absolute central scotoma can regain reading ability by eccentric fixation and text magnification. Many kinds of magnifying visual aids are available. Specific reading training can further improve reading speed. Peripheral field defects impair orientation. Persons with a concentric field defect can be helped by tactile aids, such as a cane, and with orientation and mobility training. Persons with hemianopia can benefit from compensatory saccadic training.

Conclusion: Suitable rehabilitative measures chosen after the thorough diagnostic evaluation of a visual impairment and analysis of its effects can usually restore reading ability, improve orientation, and thereby enhance the patient’s independence and quality of life. As the demand for visual rehabilitation is increasing, steps will need to be taken to make it more widely available. Furthermore, as the scientific basis for visual rehabilitation is currently inadequate in some areas, more research in the field will be needed.
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implementation of new training methods that will have an increasingly important role in future.

Complex brain injuries after trauma or perinatal hypoxic–ischemic insult—the most common cause of severe visual impairment in children in the Western world (e17–e19)—require multidisciplinary rehabilitation (e20, e21). Given the usually dominating neurological symptoms, cerebral visual impairments are often overlooked. If they are combined with changes to the eyes themselves, this can make rehabilitation even more difficult.

Symptoms of cortical stimulation in the absence of visual input lead to pseudohallucinations, which are often not reported by patients, who fear mental illness, or are misinterpreted by those around the patients. This phenomenon, known as Charles Bonnet syndrome (e22) occurs in 11% to 27% of cases, depending on the severity of visual loss (e23–e26). In addition, those with visual impairment often suffer from depressive disorders because of their reduced quality of life (9, 10, e27, e28).

| TABLE 1 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Studies on training in hemianopia** |  |  |
| **a) Studies on training to improve hemianopic reading impairment** |  |  |
| Study | Method | Study design | Evidence level |
| 1. Kerkhoff et al. 1992 (11) | Optokinetic training with moving text | RMD | 2b |
| 2. Zihl 1984 (e32) | Oculomotor training | RMD | 2b |
| 3. Schütt et al. 2008 (12) | Optokinetic training with moving text | RCT | 1b |
| 4. Spytzina et al. 2007 (13) | Restorative visual field training with an effect on reading | RMD | 2b |
| **b) Compensatory exploratory saccade training to improve hemianopic orientation impairment** |  |  |
| Study | Method | Study design | Evidence level |
| 1. Kerkhoff et al. 1992 (11) | Oculomotor training | RMD | 2b |
| 2. Zihl 1995 (15) | Oculomotor training | RMD | 2b |
| 3. Nelles et al. 2001 (16) | Oculomotor training | RMD | 2b |
| 4. Pambakian et al. 2004 (17) | Search task (single object) | RMD | 2b |
| 5. Bolognini et al. 2005 (e33) | Audiovisual training | RMD | 2b |
| 6. Roth et al. 2009 (18) | Search task (multiple objects) vs. visual field stimulation | RCT | 1b |
| 7. Keller & Lefin-Rank 2010 (e34) | Audiovisual vs. visual | RCT | 1b |
| 8. Lane et al. 2010 (e35) | Oculomotor training vs. attention training | RCT | 1b |

a) The studies that used optokinetic training reported an improvement in reading speed (studies 1 and 2 by a mean of 40 words (W)/min, study 4 by 20 W/min), but only study 4 was randomized and controlled (evidence level 1b). Study 3, which used oculomotor training, showed an increase in reading speed by 35 W/min (for single words and for numerals). Study 5 investigated the effect of visual field stimulation training to restore the blind half-field on reading ability. The effect of 7 W/min was not clinically relevant.

b) All studies reported an improvement in exploratory behavior after training, but studies 1–5 were carried out without a control group (evidence level 2b). Hence, the specificity of saccade training was not shown. Study 6 was the first to show the effectiveness of exploratory saccade training under randomized and controlled conditions (evidence level 1b). Study 7 described greater improvement with additional auditory stimuli compared to visual alone. Study 8 showed both methods to have similar effects.

RMD: repeated measurement design, RCT: randomized controlled study with a control group

Note: To the best of the author’s knowledge, all RCTs are included in this table.

**Literature analysis**

The selective literature search was based on searching Cochrane Library Reviews and randomized controlled studies (RCTs) that have appeared in the Cochrane Library and PubMed in the period from 1990 to the beginning of 2011. The following search terms were used: “vision rehabilitation,” “rehabilitation and vision,” “visual rehabilitation and effectiveness,” “neurological rehabilitation and visual,” “hemianopia.” Although a few studies with an evidence level of at least 2b (Table 1a–b) exist in the field of neuropsychological rehabilitation, very little has been published in the field of ophthalmological/optical rehabilitation (“low-vision rehabilitation”) that fulfills strictly scientific requirements.

In the low-vision literature there were two Cochrane Reviews; however, in the one entitled “Reading aids for adults with low vision” (e29), none of the nine studies included allowed definite conclusions to be drawn, and the same was true of the two studies included in the other review, “Orientation and mobility training for adults with low vision” (e30). A review (e31) on the
evidence of expanded optometric therapy also showed that there are practically no randomized controlled studies on this subject.

The calculation of effect sizes in the expanded literature search was possible only to a limited extent, because either standard deviations were not calculated or reported or patient selection had led to data distortion. In addition, in many studies the interventions were described too vaguely for any conclusions to be drawn from them.

For this reason, the present review took account of only those studies that either threw up an interesting scientific question or demonstrated an important principle, or in which clinical experience over many years has shown a positive effect and this method is already established in clinical routine.

The present review is intended to give colleagues from other specialties an insight into the field of visual rehabilitation. It summarizes the present state of knowledge and indicates the most important causes of visual impairment, its impact on tasks of everyday living, and current options for its rehabilitation.

**WHO classification**

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) (20) takes account of three areas that apply well to the visual system (Figure 1):

- Damage to the organ
- Restriction of the person’s activities due to the organ damage
- Reduced participation by the person in social life.

**Diseases of the eyes and visual pathways**

**Media opacity**

Opacities of the media (cataract, corneal dystrophy, vitreous opacity) result in a reduction of vision and contrast and an increased susceptibility to glare—with consequences for the ability to read and to orientate oneself.

**Retinopathies**

Retinopathies (diabetes, chorioretinitis, high-grade myopia) lead to disseminated retinal lesions and diffuse visual field defects that can disturb orientation and/or reading.

**Macular disease/optic atrophy**

Macular disease and many cases of optic atrophy result in a central scotoma; that is, an object that is looked at directly disappears into the scotoma and fine details cannot be seen. The primary impact of this is on the ability to read.

**Degenerative retinopathies/glaucoma**

In degenerative retinopathies and in cases of advanced glaucoma, there is a concentric narrowing of the visual field that restricts the ability to orientate oneself.

**Suprachiasmatic lesions of the visual pathways**

Suprachiasmatic lesions of the visual pathways lead to homonymous visual field defects, usually hemianopic
or quadrant-shaped, that impair orientation and in many cases also reading.

**Ability to read**

**Normal reading**

The requirements for the ability to read are adequate resolution of the part of the retina being used (for newspaper print, 0.4 at 25 cm) and adequate size of the reading visual field or perceptual span (3–4 letters to the left of the fixation point and up to 15 letters in the direction of reading) (e36–e38). A normal reader uses the fovea for fixation (Figure 2a). Visual acuity decreases rapidly as retinal eccentricity increases, so letters are seen clearly only in the area of the reading visual field. To see the next complex of letters clearly, the eye needs to move.

**Reading impairment**

Diseases that cause a scotoma in the center of the visual field result in impairment of reading (for a comprehensive account see [21, 22]). Loss of the ability to read entails severe restriction of independence and quality of life. The most common reason is a central scotoma, which is usually caused by macular disease, less often by optic atrophy. With absolute central scotoma and central fixation, the reading visual field is obscured by scotoma and there is no ability to read. In many patients a useful adaptation occurs spontaneously: They fixate at the edge of the lesion with a normal area of retina (Figure 2b) (6, 21, e9, e10); although resolution is lower here, this can be compensated by magnifying the text. This eccentric fixation can be recognized by direct inspection of the direction of gaze. Figure 3 shows the mechanism on the basis of the bulbus position.

The combination of eccentric fixation and text magnification is the basis for restoring the ability to read in a patient with central scotoma. For this reason, magnifying visual aids are extremely successful in this patient group. In the author’s own study, out of 530 patients with adult macular degeneration, only 16% could

---

**TABLE 2**

Rehabilitation measures in blind or visually impaired patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading impairment</th>
<th>Orientation impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vision aids</td>
<td>Vision aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Magnifying</td>
<td>● Telescope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Contrast-increasing</td>
<td>● Increasing contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Illuminated</td>
<td>● Night vision device*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactile aids</td>
<td>Obstacle detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Braille</td>
<td>● Long cane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Audio books</td>
<td>● Guide dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic aids</td>
<td>● Ultrasound device*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Speech output</td>
<td>Acoustic aids:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Audio books</td>
<td>● electronic guidance systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Training</td>
<td>● GPS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● How to use aids</td>
<td>● Public guidance systems*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Learning possible compensatory behaviors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Fixation training</td>
<td>– Orientation and mobility training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Specific reading training</td>
<td>– Saccade training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All visual impairments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social counseling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Effect on life at school, at work, in leisure activities, independent living</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Being registered as disabled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Special state support due to blindness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Self-help groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These aids are not yet in routine use.
read newspaper print before the consultation; afterwards 94% could do so. They increased their reading speed by an average of 56 words per minute (from 16 [standard deviation (SD) 33]) to 72 [SD 35]) (23) (normal: 180 words/min) (e39). A large range of optical and electronic aids are available (Table 2).

Additional training measures can further improve reading ability. Training for eccentric fixation can be useful in patients who still fixate centrally (e9), but is not generally required, and moreover is a matter of dispute (e40). Training in using the visual aid is important, because with optic aids certain working distances have to be observed. Specific reading training with computer programs that either show single words on the screen or train eye movements during reading improves reading ability in patients with juvenile macular dystrophy by approximately an additional 20 words/min (median)—a statistically significant and clinically relevant effect (24). Whether this success can be extended to patients with AMD remains to be shown.

In patients with ring scotoma there is a central island of vision within a central scotoma, but it can become too small for reading. There is then a discrepancy between relatively good vision (for single letters) and the lack of an ability to read. In such cases rehabilitation can be difficult. Many patients learn, in some cases supported by training (e8, e41), to use an eccentrical part of the retina—despite the central vision—to read large print.

In patients with concentric constriction of the visual field, acoustic or tactile aids may be used (Table 2).

In those with homonymous hemianopia, reading ability depends mainly on the distance from the visual field defect to the center, i.e., on the size of the residual visual field (8, e42).

Patients with macular sparing – a seeing area of 2° to 5° in the horizontal in the blind half-field – may be able to read normally. If there is no macular sparing, a high-grade reading impairment will be present, since half of the reading visual field has no function. Some patients can fixate eccentrically despite having intact foveal function, thus shifting the border of their visual field defect toward the hemianopic side, and thus creating for themselves a small area of perception along the visual field border, which improves their ability to read (e43).

The side of visual field loss also matters: It is much worse if it is on the side in the direction of reading. Patients with left-sided hemianopia have difficulty in finding the start of the next line (e42). Tactile aids to improve orientation on the page have proved their value in everyday living (without scientific evidence), especially in left-sided hemianopia (index finger on the start of the line, or a ruler or slightly magnifying ruler). Earlier studies using moving text (optokinetic training) reported positive effects (11, e32, e44), but a RCT (13) was the first to show the specific effect of this training in right-sided hemianopia (Table 1a).

**Figure 3:** Examining fixation behavior by observing the bulbus position (direction of gaze) in patients with macular disease (modified from [e8]).

Left: With the gaze directed straight forward, the letter A falls directly on the nonfunctioning macula and thus into the central scotoma. The patient is unable to read.

Right: With eccentric fixation, the letter is fixated with a normal part of the retina (in this example, above the lesion); in the visual field it is now below the scotoma. The gaze is directed upward. When this is combined with a magnifying visual aid, reading is now possible.
as do cut-off filters that increase contrast. In terms of tactile aids, orientation and mobility training is carried out with the long cane. New technology using electronic guidance systems has not come into established use so far, but may perhaps play a more important role in the future (Table 2). Night vision instruments can be used to improve night blindness (e45, e46). Whether visual prostheses, which can evoke a visual impression via “artificial seeing”, will be of use at the everyday level in future cannot be judged at present.

People with hemianopic orientation impairment suffer considerable restrictions in their everyday life: They bump into people and objects and have problems in finding their way about, with the result that they have reduced participation in social life, are unable to drive, and their quality of life declines. Patients make spontaneous saccades to the blind side, thus shifting their visual field border, which is often wrongly interpreted as an improvement in the visual field (e47). This spontaneous adaptation strategy can be supported by training.

Earlier studies reported a positive effect of compensatory eye movement training (11, 15–17), but the specificity was not shown because of the lack of control groups (Table 1b [e44]). For this reason the authors carried out a RCT which showed for the first time that exploratory saccade training is specifically effective (18, 19). This compensatory training promotes scanning of the blind half of the visual field, and thus better use of the whole field of view (Figure 4). The training consisted of an on-screen number search task and was carried out at home twice daily for 30 min each time, 5 days a week, for 6 weeks.

It resulted in a highly significant improvement in exploratory behavior on the diseased side, with a reduction of reaction time both in the number search task (15.9 ms to 8.4 ms in the experimental group versus 14.2 ms to 11.6 ms in the control group) and in the natural search task (2.2 to 1.7 versus 2.0 to 1.9). Once learned, these strategies were used in everyday life and persisted after the training ended. Improvement occurred even in patients whose visual field defect had existed for years. Quality of life in the social area also improved.

Exploratory saccade training is so simple it can be carried out at home even by people with no previous computer experience (www.medizin.uni-tuebingen.de/augenklinik, www.amd-read.net). Training methods to improve visual searching using paper material use the same approach in principle, but have not been tested in studies (e48, e49).

Training methods that seek to restore the blind half of the visual field through visual stimulation (e.g., [e47, e50]) did not prove to be effective in control studies (14, 18, 19, e51–e54). A distinction must be made between this and the rare, unconscious (irrelevant to everyday living) residual vision (“blindsight”) via direct connections from the superior colliculus to higher visual centers (e7, e55).

Patients with complex brain injury can have various visual disturbances, such as visual field defects, diplopia, hypoaccommodation, eye movement disorders, and deficits in higher cortical processing. An intensive orthoptic diagnostic work-up—which may include prism adaptation and simple training methods using hard (paper) copy to improve visual attention, exploration, and eye–hand coordination—has proved valuable in practice ([e48, e49]) but this has not been scientifically demonstrated.

Social considerations
Rehabilitation includes assessment of the degree of disability with reference to whether the visually impaired person is entitled to carry a disabled person’s identity card, together with an expert witness statement as to whether the patient is legally blind. Receipt of special state support due to blindness is basically regulated by...
The author is involved in the scientific development of the saccade training software at the University of Tübingen (Kibocoach), but has no financial interest.
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Translated from the original German by Kersti Wagstaff, MA.
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