Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
Epidemiology, Clinical Course, Investigation, and Treatment

Johannes Weiß, Monika Rau, Andreas Geier

SUMMARY

Background: The global obesity epidemic has increased the prevalence of fatty liver disease. At present, 14% to 27% of the general population in the industrialized world has non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).

Methods: We review pertinent publications retrieved by a selective search of the PubMed database for the years 1995 to 2013.

Results: The term “non-alcoholic fatty liver disease” covers cases of a wide spectrum of severity, ranging from bland fatty liver without any inflammation and with little or no tendency to progress all the way to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with inflammatory reactions and hepatocyte damage, with or without fibrosis. Some 5% to 20% of patients with NAFLD develop NASH, which undergoes a further transition to higher-grade fibrosis in 10% to 20% of cases. In fewer than 5% of cases, fibrosis progresses to cirrhosis. These approximate figures lead to an estimate of 0.05% to 0.3% for the prevalence of cirrhosis in the general population. About 2% of all cirrhosis patients per year develop hepatocellular carcinoma. The diagnosis of fatty liver disease can be suspected initially on the basis of abnormally high aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) and/or alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) levels and abnormal ultrasonographic findings. The positive predictive value of an ultrasonographic study for mild steatosis is 67% at most. The NAFLD fibrosis score, which is computed on the basis of multiple parameters (age, body-mass index, diabetes status, ASAT, ALAT, platelet count, and albumin level), has a positive predictive value of 82% to 90% and a negative predictive value of 88% to 93%. Liver biopsy is the gold standard for diagnosis but should be performed sparingly in view of its rare but sometimes life-threatening complications, such as hemorrhage. The treatment of NAFLD and NASH consists mainly of changes in lifestyle and nutrition.

Conclusion: NAFLD can, in principle, be reversed. This is only possible with weight reduction by at least 3% to 5%.
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the general population is between 6% and 33%, with a
median of 20%; the estimated prevalence of NASH is
notably lower, at 3% to 5% (9).

Obesity is a known risk factor for NAFLD; both a
high BMI and visceral obesity increase the risk. In
patients with morbid obesity (BMI>40 kg/m²) who under-
go bariatric surgery, the prevalence of NAFLD may
be in excess of 90% (1). Recently, however,
physical constitution and fat distribution were found to
be better indicators of mortality than BMI alone (10,
e4).

The proportion of NAFLD is also higher in patients
with type 2 diabetes than in the general population (9).
An ultrasound based study found a prevalence of 69%
among patients with type 2 diabetes (11). Lipid meta-
abolism also seems to have a substantial influence. In
many type 2 diabetes patients, raised concentrations of
triglycerides and lowered concentrations of HDL cho-
lesterol are also observed. In patients with dyslipidemia
who were attending an outpatient clinic, the prevalence
of NAFLD was 50% (e5). Interestingly, the risk of
NAFLD increases independently of the presence of dia-
betes with each individual metabolic risk factor (4).
Factors such as age, sex and ethnicity have also been
found to play a role: male sex, older age, and Hispanic
origin are associated with a significantly higher risk of
developing NAFLD (9, e6).

Natural clinical course
The Figure shows the pathogenesis and natural clinical
course of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Patients
with NAFLD can be prognostically categorized into
two groups: patients with simple NAFL experience no
progression or only a very mild progression of their dis-
case. Liver injury after NASH is qualitatively no differ-
ent to that caused by alcohol, although the progression
in NASH is slower and the histological changes are less
pronounced (12). However, this needs to be qualified
by mentioning the fact that there are numerous studies
that investigated the natural clinical course und histo-
logical changes over time in NAFL and NASH, but
these studies mostly included small numbers of patients
and relatively short observation periods. It is highly
probably that a substantial proportion of the cases of
hepatic cirrhosis that used to be classed as “crypto-
genic” is due to NAFLD or NASH. This is supported
by the fact that patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis dis-
proportionately often have metabolic risk factors, such
as type 2 diabetes, obesity, or metabolic syndrome, and
characteristics of NASH are often detected in their liver
biopsies (13, e7). Between 5% and 20% of patients
with fatty liver develop NASH over the clinical course;
in some 10–20% this develops into higher-grade fibro-
sis; in <5% this progresses to full-blown cirrhosis (14).
A sequential estimate assuming the variance of these
progression frequencies yields a prevalence of NAFLD
cirrhosis of 0.05–0.3% of the general population. The
direct development from simple NAFL to cirrhosis has
also been described (15). Furthermore, patients with
NAFLD have an increased risk for hepatocellular carci-
noma (HCC), although the risk is mostly restricted to

**Causes of secondary hepatic steatosis (adapted from [1]):**

**Macroversicular steatosis**
- Increased consumption of alcohol
- Hepatitis C (especially genotype 3)
- Wilson’s disease
- Lipodystrophy
- States of hunger
- Parenteral nutrition
- Abetalipoproteinemia
- Medications
  (for example, amiodarone, methotrexate, steroids)

**Microvesicular steatosis**
- Reye’s syndrome
- Acute fatty liver of pregnancy
- HELLP syndrome
- Metabolic disorders (for example, lecithin-
  cholesterol-acyltransferase [LCAT] deficiency)
- Medications
  (for example, valproate, antiretroviral drugs)
those with advanced fibrosis and hepatic cirrhosis (16). If cirrhosis is present, the annual risk for HCC is 2% (13). However, HCC has also been described in NAFLD patients without cirrhosis (15). According to international estimates, the incidence of HCC will double by 2020, owing to the massive increase in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (the incidence in Germany in 2010 was 8330) (17, e8, e9). In addition to this, NAFLD is a cardiovascular risk factor that is independent of the classic risk factors (18). Furthermore, patients with NASH have been found to be subject to a higher overall mortality (survival 70% versus 80%, mean observational interval 13.7 years) compared with a control population adjusted for risk factors, in contrast to patients with bland steatosis (NAFL) (19). Similarly, in patients with NASH—but not in those with NAFL—the liver specific mortality rate is increased (1). The most common causes of death are malignancies, followed by cardiovascular disorders; liver-associated mortality is in third place (13%) (20).

In principle, NAFLD is reversible; weight reduction plays a vital part in this. Two retrospective studies and one prospective study showed that hepatic steatosis reversed in a majority of morbidly obese patients who had bariatric surgery, and the proportion of patients with fibrosis also fell. Similarly, a change in different serum markers was noted, including fetuin-A expression (21, e10, e11). The association of weight gain and incidence of hepatic steatosis, as well as that of weight loss and reversal of steatosis, has been prospectively confirmed over seven years (22). Interestingly, even a moderate weight reduction of up to 4% of body weight is sufficient to yield a reduction in hepatic steatosis in 56% of patients (22). Consumption of coffee
(but not espresso) and even small quantities of alcohol (<20 g/day) seemed beneficial in this setting. Coffee consumption was found to be an independent protective factor against fibrosis in NASH (odds ratio [OR] 0.75; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.58 to 0.98); moderate consumption of alcohol reduced in NAFLD the risk for NASH (OR 0.56; 95% CI 0.39 to 0.84), fibrosis (OR 0.56; 95% CI 0.41 to 0.77), and ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes (OR 0.66; 95% CI 0.48 to 0.92) (23, 24).

Clinical presentation and diagnostic evaluation

The findings in NAFLD tend to be non-specific. Most patients have no symptoms or signs of hepatic disease at the time of diagnosis; some complain about increased tiredness or a sensation of pressure in the right upper abdomen.

In terms of laboratory chemistry, pathological values of glutamate-oxalacetate-transaminase (GOT) (aspartate-amino transferase [ASAT]) and glutamate-pyruvate transaminase (GPT) (alanine-amino transferase [ALAT]) may be noted; raised GPT is mostly leading and often in isolation (4). However, even normal readings for transaminases do not rule out cirrhosis, and raised concentrations are partly re-normalized when NASH develops (25). The ferritin concentration is raised in about half of patients, and transferrin saturation increased in 6–11%. The liver’s iron content is typically within the normal range (4), in contrast to the situation in hemochromatosis. Furthermore, commercial combined tests and apoptosis markers (cytokeratine-18 fragments [e12]) are available; to date, however, these have not gained any importance in routine clinical practice.

Liver biopsy is still the gold standard in the diagnostic evaluation of NAFLD, because NASH can be formally diagnosed only by means of histological testing. However, a biopsy is an invasive procedure, which carries a risk—albeit a rare one—of potentially life-threatening complications—hemorrhage, for example (e13). It needs to be borne in mind that NASH is erroneously not identified in up to one-third of patients, and that the degree of fibrosis may be subject to overestimation as well as underestimation (26).

Since patients are usually asymptomatic and the laboratory parameters often normal, the question in routine clinical practice is which patients should be investigated for NAFLD. A practical recommendation is urgently needed in this setting. The current US NAFLD guideline advises against general NAFLD screening at this time, owing to the lack of evidence of benefit and the relatively high cost, not even in high-risk groups such as obese patients or patients with diabetes (1). In Germany, the clinical practice guideline (S3) on the diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma does, however, consider the risk factors listed above and recommends a general ultrasound follow-up not only in cirrhosis but also in patients with NASH (27). In principle this requires a liver biopsy in all patients with a fatty liver, in order to identify high-risk patients with NASH or higher-grade fibrosis. Such an approach—with careful weighing of the risks and benefits—is of course only justified in patients with an increased primary risk for the presence of NASH or fibrosis, since these conditions are associated with the development of hepatic cirrhosis and its complications (HCC, among others). To date, no clear guidance is given in the literature with regard to defining an indication for liver biopsy in NAFLD.

The non-invasive investigative method that is certainly most suitable for detecting hepatic steatosis is ultrasound (sensitivity 60–94%, specificity 66–97%), but this is rather less precise in milder degrees of steatosis (28). According to available study data, the positive predictive value in mild steatosis is only 67% at most (28). Outside the study setting, the positive predictive value is likely to be even lower. The degree of hepatic fibrosis can now be estimated non-invasively by using several techniques of elastography (including FibroScan and acoustic radiation force impulse imaging [ARFI]) (e14). The FibroScan investigation enables distinction between fibrosis (F1–F3) and cirrhosis (29), but in morbid obesity it is not equal to the task.

For routine clinical practice, the question remains how high-risk patients can be identified with a justifiable amount of apparatus diagnostics and interventional risk. Recently, a number of simple clinical risk scores has shown excellent consistency with the degree of fibrosis in patients with steatosis (30). The best result was seen for the NAFLD fibrosis score (http://nafldscores.com), which consists of the parameters age, BMI, diabetes, GOT, GPT, thrombocytes, and albumin (positive predictive value 82–90%, negative predictive value 88–93%). An increased risk of higher-degree fibrosis was described for patients with a BMI >32 kg/m², age >45 years, diabetes, and a ratio of GOT to GPT >1 (31). New genetic markers, such as variants of PNPLA3 (adipomulin), which indicate an increased risk of progression towards NASH, fibrosis, and HCC, have not yet become established in routine clinical practice (32, 33, e15).

In combination with findings from sonography or elastography, these clinical scores can help identify patients for diagnostic liver biopsy or close clinical and sonographic monitoring of the clinical course (six-monthly in NASH). The high coincidence of type 2 diabetes and NAFLD justifies routine diabetes screening (HbA1c and oral glucose tolerance test, if required).

Treatment

Therapeutic options in NAFLD and NASH are currently limited mainly to interventions in terms of diet and lifestyle. A medication with long-term effectiveness that would beneficially affect the course of fibrosis does currently not exist. The most effective treatment consists of weight reduction and intensive lifestyle modification with an increase in physical activity/exercise, which has been confirmed to be able to improve histological results (1). In a randomized controlled trial, an increase in physical activity of moderate intensity to
some 200 minutes per week resulted in weight loss of 9% and significant improvements of steatosis and necroinflammation on hepatic histology over 48 weeks (34). In general, a reduction in weight of at least 3–5% seems required to positively affect the steatosis; with regard to necroinflammation, a weight loss of at least 9% is required (1).

In recent years, different drug based approaches have been investigated in randomized, placebo controlled studies. Metformin has not been found to have any effect of significance. A recent meta-analysis has shown that treatment with metformin for 6–12 months, combined with a lifestyle intervention, did not improve transaminases nor liver histology compared with a lifestyle intervention alone (9). Another meta-analysis and a case–control study in combination with an in-vitro study indicated, however, that metformin may have a positive effect in terms of the incidence of HCC (35, 36). Pioglitazone seems to have a positive effect, which in several studies improved the steatosis as well as the inflammation (37, 38). The effect on fibrosis is not clear. Data on the long-term effects and safety are lacking (9, 1).

As oxidative stress seems to have a central role in hepatic cell injury in the context of NASH, the influence of the antioxidant vitamin E on the disorder has also been investigated. In the randomized, placebo controlled PIVENS study in non-diabetic patients, vitamin E lowered transaminases and histologically improved steatosis and inflammation after two years of treatment, but did not affect the degree of fibrosis (38). The US guideline therefore does not recommend vitamin E in non-diabetic patients with histologically confirmed NASH, but it does recommend against its use in diabetes patients, in the absence of histological results, and in case of NASH cirrhosis or cryptogenic cirrhosis, until robust data are available (1).

Patients with NAFLD are subject to vitamin D deficiency, the extent of which depends on the degree of fibrosis and necroinflammation (39). In view of its beneficial metabolic and anti-inflammatory effects, substitution therapy in such patients seems to make sense, in principle, and is currently being investigated in studies (40).

Conclusion
With increasing prevalence rates of obesity, NAFLD has become the most common chronic liver disorder that physicians in inpatient and outpatient clinics in Europe as well as in the US will find themselves confronted with. At-risk patients can be identified by using a combination of clinical presentation, sonography (if required, in combination with elastography) and validated risk scores for close monitoring of the clinical course; doctors in private practice have an important steering function in this context.
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KEY MESSAGES
- The prevalence of NAFLD in the normal population is 20–30% and that of NASH is 3%; if risk factors—such as the metabolic syndrome—are present, these rates can rise to 75% and 15–50%, respectively.
- NAFLD has become the most common chronic hepatic disorder in Europe and the US.
- If NASH or fibrosis are present, the affected patients’ mortality is significantly increased.
- Complete reversal is possible; weight reduction has the most important role in this context.
- Therapeutic methods using medications have not become established for all groups of patients; similarly, a long-term effect of drug treatment on the progression of fibrosis has not been confirmed.
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